	Case 3:07-cv-05739-SC	Filed 08/22/2	2008	Page 1 of 2
1	WILLIAM L. STERN (CA SBN 96105) GEOFFREY R. PITTMAN (BAR NO. 253876)			
2	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street			
3	San Francisco, California 94105-2482			
4	Telephone: 415.268.7000 Facsimile: 415.268.7522			
5	E-mail: wstern@mofo.com			
6	Attorneys for Defendant GAP INC.			
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
11				
12	JOEL RUIZ, On Behalf of Himself and All Otl Similarly Situated,	ners Case No	o. C 0	07-5739 SC
13	Plaintiff,			ION OF WILLIAM EPLY TO GAP INC.'S
14	V.	MOTIO	ON TO	O STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S INITION OR, IN THE
15	GAP, INC., and DOES 1-9 inclusive,	ALTEI	RNATI	IVE, TO AMEND THE INITION
16	Defendants.	Date:		otember 5, 2008
17 18		Time: Room: Judge:	Co	00 a.m. urtroom 1, 17th Floor norable Samuel Conti
19			int file	ed: November 13, 2007
20				
21	I, William L. Stern, hereby declare as fo	ollows:		
22	1. I am a member of the State Bar of California and am admitted to practice before			
23	this Court. I am a partner in the law firm of Morrison & Foerster, LLP, attorneys for defendants			
24	in this action. These facts are known personally to me in that capacity. I submit this declaration			
25	in reply to GAP Inc.'s Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Class Definition or, in the Alternative, to			
26	Amend the Class Definition. If called as a witness, I would testify to the facts listed below.			
27	2. In his opposition papers, plaintiff Joel Ruiz contends that GAP is seeking to			
28	deprive him of discovery. That is not true. GAP has produced documents responsive not only to			
	DECL. OF WILLIAM STERN IN REPLY TO GAP INC.'S MOT. TO STRIKE PL.'S CLASS DEFINITION OR, IN THE			

sf-2562957

Ruiz's real claim, concerning persons who have suffered no actual identity theft to date, but also into whether anyone else may have experienced identity theft as a result of the stolen laptop incident. This discovery has been costly and time consuming because it has involved, among other things, the collection and production of phone logs and e-mails and other documents reflecting the inquiries of putative class members who phoned GAP or its third-party vendor following receipt of the breach notification letter to ask about the incident. There is no discovery that GAP has withheld on the basis that Ruiz is not himself a victim of actual identity theft.

- 3. Plaintiff also states that no depositions have been scheduled. GAP has offered to produce its percipient witnesses and "persons most knowledgeable" for deposition in San Francisco on September 10-12, 2008. In addition, the depositions of the vendor's witnesses are being scheduled for Chicago (where plaintiffs' counsel is located) for several dates in September 2008. These depositions will proceed no matter how the Court rules on the pending motion.
- 4. Attached as Exhibit A to this Declaration is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Responses and Objections to Defendant Gap, Inc.'s Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents, received by me on July 30, 2008 in the regular course of business.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and this Declaration was executed in San Francisco, California, on this 19th day of August, 2008.

By: /s/ William Stern

21

26

27

28